

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/904,112	07/11/2001	Cem Basceri	MIO 0057 PA (98-1070)	1085
7590 07/07/2005		EXAMINER		
Killworth, Gottman, Hagan & Schaeff, L.L.P.			KENNEDY, JENNIFER M	
Suite 500 One Dayton Centre			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dayton, OH 45402-2023			2812	

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

V2
Þ

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/904,112	BASCERI ET AL.				
Examiner-induced interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jennifer M. Kennedy	2812				
All Participants:	Status of Application: App	peal				
(1) <u>Jennifer M. Kennedy</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Susan M. Luna</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 29 June 2005	Time: <u>3:00pm</u>					
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:						
Part I.						
Rejection(s) discussed:		·				
Claims discussed: None						
Prior art documents discussed:  None						
Part II.						
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet						
Part III.						
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>						
•	•					
June M. Kennedy						
	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)				

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Applicant's representative to request a copy of the IDS filed 10/5/2001, which had been initialed and returned to Applicant's representative in the non-final action mailed July 19, 2002 (noted on Office Action Summary). The examiner explained that the initialed IDS had been lost during the transistion of the paper case to an electronic case. On July 1, 2005 Applicant's representative faxed a copy of the signed and initialed IDS (10/5/2001), the IDS being signed and dated on 4/2/2002 and mailed 7/29/2002 was properly considered properly in accordance with MPEP 609. The examiner has attached a copy of the IDS to be scanned into the file in order to complete the application history.